



# Accomack County Planning Commission

Angela Wingfield, Chair, District 2  
Leander Roberts, Jr. Vice-Chair, District 8  
John Sparkman, District 1  
C. Robert "Bob" Hickman, District 3  
Kelvin Pettit, District 4  
Brantley "Pete" Onley, District 5  
Glen "Adair" Tyler, District 6  
Lynn Gayle, District 7  
Larry Giddens, Sr., District 9

1  
2 County Administration Building, Board Chambers, Room 104, 23296 Courthouse Avenue, Accomac, VA  
3

## Minutes for Wednesday, June 12, 2024 at 7:00 PM

4  
5  
6 **1. CALL TO ORDER**

7 **MEMBERS PRESENT AND ABSENT**

8  
9 **Planning Commission Members Present:**

- 10 Mrs. Angela Wingfield, Chairwoman
- 11 Mr. Leander Roberts, Jr., Vice Chairman
- 12 Mr. Adair Tyler
- 13 Mr. Robert Hickman
- 14 Mr. John Sparkman
- 15 Mr. Brantley Onley
- 16 Mr. Lynn Gayle

17  
18 **Planning Commission Members Absent:**

- 19 Mr. Kelvin Pettit

20  
21 **Others Present:**

- 22 Mr. Leander "Lee" Pambid, Deputy County Administrator
- 23 Beth Nunnally, Environmental Planner II
- 24 Pamela Dix, Administrative Assistant II

25  
26 **DETERMINATION OF A QUORUM**

27 There being a quorum Chairwoman Wingfield called the meeting to order at 7:00 p.m.

28  
29 **REMOTE PARTICIPATION**

30  
31 **2. ADOPTION OF AGENDA**

32 *On a motion made by Commissioner Tyler and seconded by Commissioner Roberts, the*  
33 *Planning Commission voted unanimously to adopt the agenda.*

34  
35 **3. MINUTES**

36 **Commissioner Hickman**

37 I have a comment I think this is taken from your playbook. When you talk about a  
38 comprehensive plan. These minutes there 45 pages, I mean, unrealized them verbatim. But  
39 isn't there some way you could get synopsized? Four or five pages of the of the minutes? I  
40 don't think anybody wants to read them, am I correct. Okay. And that would include the  
41 public, I guess, not necessary. That's done automatically. Right, what you're doing

42 **Mr. Lee Pambid**

43 So, thank you for that question, certainly appreciate that question. One of the reasons why we  
44 switched to verbatim minutes was because we have a software that's able to do that. And with  
45 Chontese taking care of Planning Commission, BZA and Wetlands Board, she was spending  
46 a tremendous amount of time doing minutes. So even to even to summarize the minutes, you  
47 have to listen to the entire meeting. So that's why we made the staff decision to go through  
48 and do verbatim minutes as with the AI software, we can try to figure out a way if there is  
49 another AI solutions, further condensed that because but minutes, as you know, is a is an  
50 incredibly labor intensive process where you know, when you go to the meeting, you've  
51 heard it once. And then when you have to do the minutes, you have to listen to that entire  
52 meeting again, in order to even summarize the minutes.

53 But the wetlands board currently has verbatim minutes. And then we've also done the same  
54 thing for the BZA. But if that's the desire of the Planning Commission, we will try to figure  
55 out, or we'll check with IT to see if there's another way to do that. But what we were trying to  
56 do was to was to save was to save the staff some time, because we were severely  
57 understaffed. And minutes is an incredibly labor intensive process.

58

59 **Commissioner Hickman**

60 It's nice to have the detail of them verbatim, but I don't think you're going to interest many  
61 people to save a specific question that they will have, you know, go through that for and then  
62 it's going to be good. Right.

63

64 **Chairwoman Wingfield**

65 I did have a correction on line 620.

66 I guess it says I figured your roommate great. I don't know. I don't remember what my  
67 thought was there. But if you want to take that out.

68

69 **Mr. Lee Pambid**

70 So, line 620 for the March meeting, March.

71

72 **Chairwoman Wingfield**

73 It's Oh, I'm sorry. I only printed out one page. I think it was April. So, I'm sorry. Okay.

74

75 **Mr. Lee Pambid**

76 We'll check line 620 further for the various for the various meetings and we'll have we'll have  
77 our admin assistant take a look at that and make the correction.

78

79 **Chairwoman Wingfield**

80 if there's no other corrections or additions minutes being received.

81

82 Okay, minutes received second. All in favor say Aye.

83 We got the minutes of April 10.

84 I see there was a lot of unknown commissioners. Did you want that kind of detail?

85 Like it had the April 10 minutes had unknown commissioner and then the comment that they  
86 made the do you need that type of detail for your records or let it go.

87

88 **Mr. Lee Pambid**

89 We'll have to check with shots to Chontese was running the AI and what we'll do is  
90 we'll have to go back and input those and put those names in there.  
91 The way that it works is that after a while the software knows who's speaking. I'm not quite  
92 sure what happened there, but we'll try to correct that. Yeah, you can, you can leave that for  
93 next month while we while we make those corrections if it was a lot of them,  
94

95 **Chairwoman Wingfield**

96 Yeah, four or five probably. Okay. That's up to you.  
97

98 **Mr. Lee Pambid**

99 Pam, do you know anything about that?

100 Certainly, feel free to set those minutes aside while we correct those if. Okay, well, we  
101 definitely need to have those names in there. Absolutely.  
102

103 **Chairwoman Wingfield**

104 So, we'll table that one till next month. Okay. We'll move on to May 8 minutes.

105 Any questions? I guess I'm on line 594. It says plants instead of plans. The only correction I  
106 have. Line 594 on May 8, plans instead of plant Okay. Motion to accept the minutes of  
107 May 8, 2024. Second motion is seconded. favor say Aye.  
108

109 *On a motion made by Commissioner Tyler and seconded by Commissioner Hickman, the*  
110 *Planning Commission voted unanimously to approve the March 13, 2024, Regular*  
111 *Planning Commission Minutes.*  
112

113 *On a motion made by Commissioner Tyler and seconded by Commissioner Sparkman, the*  
114 *Planning Commission voted unanimously to approve the May 8, 2024, Regular Planning*  
115 *Commission Minutes.*  
116

117 **4. PERSONNEL CHANGES**

118 **Mr. Lee Pambid**

119 In conjunction with the added agenda item. We've got some personnel changes  
120 to announce. So first of all, as I had mentioned, over email to the Chair and the Vice Chair,  
121 Commissioner Giddens from district nine has tendered his resignation effective May 20. So,  
122 Planning Commission's meeting was his last meeting. So, the board will have to will have to  
123 appoint someone from district nine but we do not have Commissioner Giddens anymore. But  
124 the staff will miss his commanding presence and his contributions to this commission.  
125

126 A couple of other ones. So last month, we had introduced a new staff member, Vivian  
127 Ramsey, unfortunately, she has resigned. So, we do not have Vivian's services anymore. And  
128 it was unrelated it was not related to her employment here it was an external situation. That's  
129 that was that was personal in nature. But she did make an impact  
130 in the three weeks that she was with the staff. So, we certainly thank her; number one for  
131 applying for the job. And secondly, for her services to the department even if it was for an  
132 extremely short time. As I had mentioned she did make an impact while she was here.  
133 So thirdly we have Pam Dix here who started on May 13. And, and she is going to be the  
134 administrative assistant II to for Economic Development. And in the interim, she will be

135 supporting the boards and commissions primarily the Planning Commission and the Board of  
136 Zoning Appeals. She comes to us with accounting experience as well as medical office  
137 administration and fundraising experience. She received those both in the local government  
138 and private sectors. She holds an Associate of Applied Science degree from Eastern Shore  
139 Community College and the staff welcomes her and we just want to introduce her to the  
140 commission and that she will hopefully be a regular fixture here at our Planning Commission  
141 for a long time.

142

143 **Chairwoman Wingfield**

144 Welcome.

145

146 **5. PUBLIC COMMENT PERIOD**

147

None.

148

149 **Mr. Lee Pambid**

150 Do we have anybody signed up more? We don't have anybody signed up because there's  
151 nobody here.

152

153 **Chairwoman Wingfield**

154 No public comment tonight. Move on to old business you want to discuss?

155

156 **Commissioner Tyler**

157 Thank you questions or concerns? I Read it. It looks like we put it all in there that we needed  
158 to and I move we go ahead and allow it to be published on July 10.

159

160 **6. OLD BUSINESS**

161

**Mr. Lee Pambid**

162 Sure. So, for old business last month, we introduced four ordinance amendments and we're  
163 going to be taking on two of those tonight.

164

165 I wanted to break those up to lessen the workload on the planning commission a little bit. But  
166 what we're going to be talking about tonight are the CEHS Bay ordinance chapter 106.16 of  
167 the of the zoning ordinance and then we're also going to bring back the poultry ordinance that  
168 we had been discussing since October. And that's section 106.232 of the zoning ordinance.  
169 So, the CAPA, or the CEHS Bay ordinance amendments are more housekeeping in nature,  
170 because there have been some changes at the state code level. And then for the poultry  
171 ordinance, as we all know, was something that was handed down from the board of  
172 supervisors to take a look at and how do we reactivate non-conforming confined poultry  
173 operations that have been inactive for two years or more.

174

175 So, we'll go ahead and get started with the with the CAPA ordinance. I don't have many  
176 slides on this because you have all of the proposed amendments in your in your packet. And  
177 they're literally just changes from the state code that we're just trying to keep up with. And  
178 we're presenting those to you tonight. See if you've got any discussion on that. So again, the  
179 Board of Supervisors passed a resolution on this to initiate the amendment process with the

180 planning commission. This was done at their meeting on the 21st of February. Again, these  
181 are routine housekeeping items needed to keep pace with state law changes.

182  
183 Chapter 106 is the is the zoning ordinance in article 16, again, is what we're what we're  
184 looking at. The county attorney has reviewed, and to quote, early adoption of these  
185 amendments prior to the comprehensive review of the zoning ordinance is strongly  
186 recommended, as some of these provisions are not in compliance with current laws and  
187 regulations. And what she's saying there is we had been mentioning that at some point here in  
188 the in the future, we're going to take a comprehensive look at the zoning ordinance, but this  
189 has to be expedited. So that's what we're doing is that we're presenting this first before we  
190 take a look at that, that that monster of a zoning ordinance. And we'll break this out as a  
191 separate activity.

192 The current definition of the Chesapeake Atlantic preservation area or CAPA, and this is for  
193 the for the audience that may be listening online. The Chesapeake Atlantic Preservation Area  
194 or CAPA means any land designated by the Board of Supervisors pursuant to part three of  
195 the Chesapeake Bay preservation area designation and management regulations. Virginia  
196 Administrative Code 10-20 at section and Code of Virginia section 10.1- 2107. A.

197  
198 The Chesapeake Atlantic preservation area shall consist of a resource protection area and a  
199 resource management area. The resource protection area is the area if you go on Acco- Map,  
200 it's depicted in you turn on that layer, it's depicted in red, and that is the more  
201 environmentally sensitive area. Those are the areas that really where development or building  
202 is restricted or highly regulated, the resource management area not so much.

203 Again, all of the amendments were provided to you in your packet. And that packet is also  
204 available to the public online and board docs for tonight's meeting. Staff's recommendations  
205 are very few, review and discuss the amendments as you find necessary. And if the  
206 commission feels it's ready and that the language is ready. Go ahead and authorize that public  
207 hearing for July 10, 2024. And that's next month. If you want to discuss it a little bit more  
208 than we can certainly do that next month.

209  
210 If you don't believe it is ready, then we can, you can provide your feedback tonight. And we  
211 can come back with some language to address your concerns and comments. With that being  
212 said, this concludes my presentation on the CAPA ordinance amendments. And I would also  
213 like to acknowledge Beth Nunnally, who is our Environmental Planner who has also worked  
214 with the county attorney's office to put this language together and she's here just as a subject  
215 matter expert, should we need to answer any of your more specific questions about the  
216 CAPA? Are there any questions?

217  
218 **Commissioner Tyler**  
219 Who's the designated administrator?

220  
221 **Mr. Lee Pambid**  
222 Me.

223  
224  
225 **Commissioner Tyler**

226 Just curious.

227

228 **Mr. Lee Pambid**

229 Yeah. So, the zoning administrator or the director of planning which is me is ultimately  
230 responsible for the for the administration of the CAPA ordinance.

231

232 **Commissioner Tyler**

233 So, I read it just by just by ministry would be whether it was you what I assumed it would be  
234 you but I read it and it didn't seem to be You've boilerplate. right

235

236 **Mr. Lee Pambid**

237 When we had Chris Guvernator, here he was the one who was responsible, you know,  
238 responsible for that he had the subject matter expertise and the experience to the to speak up  
239 confidently regarding the regarding the ordinance, but as a default, anything that's in the  
240 zoning ordinance, regardless of if it's environmental, or signage, or whatever, is going to  
241 default to the zoning administrator, which in this case, is me.

242

243 **Commissioner Tyler**

244 You're not going to go out and look at six-inch trees.

245

246 **Mr. Lee Pambid**

247 The way that I usually do this, if there's something specific, I usually have staff look at that.  
248 And if there are issues, then they can bring those issues to me. But occasionally I do make it  
249 out into the field. I was out in the field yesterday to do inspections. But we all wear multiple  
250 hats and nobody is exempt from the field work and from putting in the blood, sweat and tears  
251 out of trees to inspect. That's right. Yes, sir.

252

253 **Chairwoman Wingfield**

254 Any other questions or concerns?

255

256 **Commissioner Tyler**

257 No issues. I move we go ahead and publish it.

258

259 **Chairwoman Wingfield**

260 Okay, motion is second for public hearing July 10. All in favor say Aye.

261

262 **Mr. Lee Pambid**

263 Okay, so we will advertise for that public hearing for a July 10. public hearing for this item.

264

265 Okay. The second item, as I mentioned is the poultry ordinance section 106-32 of the zoning  
266 ordinance. As we know, the Board of Supervisors initially requested at the planning  
267 commission back in October, study the issue after the Board of Zoning Appeals had  
268 approved a variance for a poultry operation in the Savageville area. So since then, the  
269 Planning Commission has been working very hard, along with the planning staff to come up  
270 with some options. Earlier, this year, we provided you with the options matrix, and after all  
271 was said and done, the planning commission recommended to the board

272 or suggested to the board that one public hearing was appropriate, and that the Board of  
273 Zoning Appeals would be the correct body to review and decide on these applications.

274  
275 One of the things that we also wanted to put into the mix with the language that was provided  
276 in the packet is consideration of what to do in the floodplain. And what constraints if any,  
277 should we put when we're drafting this regulation to reactivate? And what I mean by that is  
278 do we want to? Do we not want the Board of Zoning Appeals to vote yes on a poultry  
279 operation, or an existing operation that has been out of I guess out of active or has not been  
280 active for more than two years, or what? But the staff generally believes that it would not be  
281 appropriate to reactivate poultry operations that are in floodplains.

282  
283 But that can be handled a couple of different ways. So, the Board of Supervisors selected the  
284 special use permit option. So, there were several options. leave it as is, say that any new or  
285 any applications any applications for reactivation would need to comply with all of the  
286 regulations do they come back and get a variance and they come back and get a special use  
287 permit condition use permit what have you and the Board of Supervisors against selected a  
288 special use permit option. That process involves just one public hearing, in accordance with  
289 the Planning Commission's recommendation that gives the public and the adjacent property  
290 owners have an opportunity as with the Savageville case to come in and make some  
291 comments.

292  
293 Should the planning commission suggest exclude reactivating poultry facilities of the  
294 floodplain then we can add that language prior to the public hearing? Or we can also handle  
295 that, as a matter of policy and a standard condition the staff suggests to the Board of Zoning  
296 Appeals that they not vote for a special use permit or that they not approve a special use  
297 permit for these facilities in the floodplain.

298  
299 **Mr. Lee Pambid**  
300 Yes, sir.

301  
302 **Commissioner Sparkman**  
303 During that discussion, we had the thing about when the actual the two years actually started,  
304 right, remember? Okay, what did we decide on that? Is that the one with the 100? chickens.

305  
306 **Mr. Lee Pambid**  
307 200 chickens? Yes, sir. Okay. That's correct. Two years. Okay, that's correct.

308  
309 **Commissioner Sparkman**  
310 200 chickens. So, when it drops below 200 is when the clock starts, right?

311  
312 **Mr. Lee Pambid**  
313 Just to finish up this slide, the county attorney has also suggested some other minor changes  
314 for clarification, you saw that when we were presenting this before we were focused on  
315 adding a subsection K, under, under that 106-32. But when you receive the language in your  
316 packet, there was a red line, or red language in some of the other sections. But the overall  
317 effect of that additional language is minimal. Again, it's clarification. To just to put this out

318 there that all that new language or that clarification language does not add any additional  
319 requirements as part of that process. So, all of the requirements from setbacks, number of  
320 houses, maximum number of houses, minimum parcel size, and that kind of thing. All of that  
321 is still the same.

322  
323 As with the last presentation, staff's recommendations are few, again, review and discuss the  
324 amendments that that we've presented to you in your packet. And if the commission feels it's  
325 ready, you can authorize a public hearing for July 10, as well, if not provide feedback. And  
326 then we'll make amendments based off of your feedback. So that that concludes this  
327 presentation. And I can feel any questions at this time.

328

329 **Chairwoman Wingfield**

330 Thank you, any questions or concerns?

331

332 **Commissioner Tyler**

333 I read it. It looks like we put it all in there that we needed to and I move we go ahead and  
334 allow it to be published on July 10.

335

336 **Chairwoman Wingfield**

337 Motion. Second. Motion is second for public hearing. July 10. All in favor say aye.

338 All oppose.

339 **Commissioner Hickman**

340 Was there wording in here about the floodplain.

341

342 **Mr. Lee Pambid**

343 No.

344

345 **Commissioner Tyler**

346 The BZA should decide that. And as a matter of staff's recommendation.

347

348 **Commissioner Hickman**

349 There's nothing to stop a person from doing that now. In his initial if you put a new ones.

350

351 **Mr. Lee Pambid**

352 So, I talked with our floodplain manager, Tom Brokenbrough, he actually was reading the  
353 packet and brought it to my attention that we do have a few that are in the floodplain. But if  
354 we're administering the zoning ordinance correctly in the floodplain, then technically those  
355 structures would have to be elevated above the floodplain.

356

357 There were a couple of other general comments with regards to floodplain ordinance. But  
358 I guess anecdotally, we would also believe that the that the Perdue's and the Tysons of the  
359 world would not want to take the risk of having the chicks in these structures that are  
360 vulnerable to flooding. And as a result, you know, losing that investment of all those chicks.  
361 So, I think that there are a couple of moving parts to this. There are a couple of things that  
362 would influence the decision for a chicken processor, like Perdue or Tyson's not to give a  
363 contract to a grower who's got a facility in a floodplain. But for new ones, the floodplain

364 ordinance would apply and they would have to elevate out of the out of the base flood  
365 elevation.

366  
367 To expound on that. If we were to receive an application for a special use permit to reactivate  
368 a facility that's located in the floodplain the staff would probably recommend denial.  
369

370 **7. NEW BUSINESS**

371 **Mr. Lee Pambid**

372 Planning Commission's remote participation policy. Okay. This is also a housekeeping item  
373 that's essentially been handed down from the state legislature. So, again, in your packet, we  
374 provided the code section that was amended by the state legislature. And as a result, the  
375 county attorney has likewise made suggestions to the proposed amendments to your bylaws.  
376 Now, the reason why the county attorney is involved in this is because we had to do this for  
377 the Board of Supervisors as well. So, the Board of Supervisors is amending their policy, the  
378 Board of Supervisors attendance policy does not extend to its subordinate boards and  
379 commissions like the Wetlands Board, Board of Zoning Appeals, Planning Commission  
380 Harbor commission. But that's something that if a body has bylaws, and has an attendance  
381 policy, it was it's required that we amended those to include the new remote participation  
382 requirements. Again, handed down by the state legislature. So just some very brief bullet  
383 points talking points on remote participation.

384 The Planning Commission has bylaws. And in Article Nine, there is an existing remote  
385 participation section.

386 Staff is not suggesting amendments to any other parts of the bylaws. So, we're specifically  
387 talking about the remote participation remote participation section. And again, well, these  
388 were specific with regards to changes in state code, making provisions for  
389 for those who are planning commissioners, BZA members, what have you, if they're  
390 caregivers of disabled persons, then then the state legislature saw fit to, to specify that in the  
391 state code with regards to remote participation. So, if you're a caregiver, then that's a specific  
392 instance, in which remote participation shall be allowed.

393  
394 The state code sections were provided in your packet. And then another element of this is  
395 that we're required to give the members in this case of the planning commission 30-day  
396 notice period before you change your bylaws, that does not require a public hearing. And you  
397 can do that. I'm checking on this, see if you can do that next month. The reason why  
398

399 **Commissioner Tyler**

400 I've got a question. Does the 30-day start when you receive the packet? Or does the 30-day  
401 start today during the presentation? Because if it starts with the packet, then we can do that  
402 next month. If it starts today, then only 28 days will have elapsed until the next meeting.  
403

404 **Commissioner Tyler**

405 So, we put it off until August.  
406

407  
408 **Mr. Lee Pambid**

409 Yes, sir. I'm going to see if the clock if we can get that stuff out that clock started this past  
410 Friday as opposed to tonight. And that way you might be able to do that, but we'll get that  
411 clarification to you.

412

413 So that concludes this presentation. And I can try to feel any questions at this time.

414

415 **Chairwoman Wingfield**

416 Questions, comments?

417

418 **Commissioner Tyler**

419 Pretty straightforward.

420

421 **Chairwoman Wingfield**

422 We'll probably send out an email, to let you know when that clock actually starts.

423

424 **Chairwoman Wingfield**

425 That's fine. Thank you. I guess does anybody have any other matters?

426

427 **8. PUBLIC HEARING**

428 No public hearing scheduled.

429

430 **9. OTHER MATTERS**

431 No other matters.

432

433 **Mr. Lee Pambid**

434 Staff doesn't have anything.

435

436 **10. STAFF REPORTS**

437 **Mr. Lee Pambid**

438 Yes. So as the designated subdivision agent by the Board of Supervisors, we don't have a  
439 specific statistic for you, but we have been doing just the routine, lot splits, lot line  
440 adjustments vacations. We don't have any major applications. We're not aware of anything  
441 that would require the Planning Commission action at this time.

442

443 What I can say is that for the coastal squaring residences application, which at some point  
444 will require a subdivision action from the planning commission that's actually going to the  
445 Board of Supervisors next week, on Thursday because we're closed on Wednesday for  
446 Juneteenth holiday, they will be looking at that decision for the rezoning and the conditional  
447 use permit. But should that pass at some point, the applicant, the applicant will appear before  
448 you for their preliminary plat, we don't know what that's going to be.

449

450 From the Zoning Administrator standpoint, the Board of Zoning Appeals did not meet this  
451 month, for the month of July, we are having to postpone their meeting from July 3 to July  
452 10th. That, again, is the same day as the Planning Commission, but they meet at 10 in the  
453 morning, and you all meet at seven in the evening. So that's going to be a nice long day for  
454 staff. But they have one application for a special use permit on that day. And that's what

455 we're tracking. We will also be presenting the remote participation, the remote participation  
456 guidelines or amendments to their processes as well.

457  
458 From a Deputy County Administrator standpoint, most of what we're doing right now is  
459 we're onboarding three new people. So, we've been in training mode over the past few over  
460 the past few weeks, really starting at the beginning of May. So, on May 3, we had one we  
461 had Vivian start. And then on May 13, we had two others start. And then we also had we also  
462 have Chontese's successor as the Environmental Permit Specialist. Julie Floyd started last  
463 week last Monday on June 3. So, as you can see, we're slowly but surely staffing up. Since  
464 my arrival, you've been hearing me say we've got seven vacancies. And I'm pleased to  
465 announce that we're slowly filling those vacancies and hopefully we can start to operate with  
466 greater efficiency, and some things and to focus on other topics like Housing and Economic  
467 Development that tend to fall by the wayside when we're really trying to just keep all the  
468 regulatory activities together, zoning administration, subdivision administration,  
469 environmental codes and building codes. So now that we've got, what I would consider  
470 greater capability, we you'll start to hear us talk a little bit more about things like housing and  
471 economic development.

472  
473 But that concludes the staff report on those three items.

474  
475 **Commissioner Sparkman**  
476 Yes sir, I have one question is not related to this. But last year, we had extensive meetings  
477 and ultimately approved a battery plant when she could take road, whatever happened to  
478 whether they have you heard anything, did it?

479  
480 **Mr. Lee Pambid**  
481 I have not heard anything on that specifically, talking about Scout energy, the Jupiter.

482  
483 **Commissioner Tyler**  
484 The cost of connecting to annex was exorbitant. Okay. And they said, maybe not now. Right.  
485 So, what I heard, well, you know, more than I do, you've heard more than I have, but thanks.

486  
487 **Mr. Lee Pambid**  
488 Well, you know, more than I do, you've heard more than I have.  
489 But from official standpoint, we haven't seen any permits, applications or requests to move  
490 that forward. So, if a rezoning and a conditional use permit, were approved by the Board of  
491 Supervisors back in, I don't know 2022,

492  
493 **Commissioner Sparkman**  
494 They have to reapply.

495  
496 **Mr. Lee Pambid**  
497 They're probably coming close to the time where they need to apply for an extension or  
498 reapply.

499  
500 **Commissioner Sparkman**

501 One year or two years.

502

503 **Mr. Lee Pambid**

504 It's two years if I were if I recall correctly, right, okay

505

506 **Commissioner Tyler**

507 I heard a while back that they did not factor in ANEC did not pay for anything. So, they  
508 would have to pay for everything to hook up the ANEC. And apparently ODACC and ANEC  
509 pricing, it's not a negotiable item. It was expensive.

510

511 **Mr. Lee Pambid**

512 What I can say just generally is that we have been receiving some inquiries in other parts of  
513 the county for that same use, I can't get specific. I can't get more specific than that because  
514 we haven't received any applications but you know, just to kind of to expand on your  
515 question, we still continue to receive inquiries on that specific use of, energy of energy  
516 storage.

517

518 You know, we like it's just meant to kind of smooth out the peaks and valleys of electric  
519 electricity or power usage.

520

521 **Commissioner Sparkman**

522 Two public hearings on it, right. One, two, it was pretty extensive.

523

524 **Mr. Lee Pambid**

525 In depending on the depending on the location, one public hearing, may or I should say, they  
526 may only need to go through a conditional use permit process or a rezoning process. But for  
527 that Scout energy site, they had to go through both.

528

529 **Commissioner Sparkman**

530 It was it was pretty involved, right.

531

532 **Mr. Lee Pambid**

533 So it was, it was near some residences, and I believe.

534

535 **Commissioner Sparkman**

536 We had a big thing about this noise level, and there was some discussion to on security, fire,  
537 you know, fire prevention on stored batteries, right.

538 But it was one of the few locations that would lend itself well to that business right across the  
539 street from that.

540

541 **Mr. Lee Pambid**

542 One of their requirements is that they have to be close to the transmission lines. So, you can,  
543 you can kind of predict where the applications are going to come because they're going to be  
544 next to the transmission lines. They're not going to be far from that.

545

546 **Commissioner Tyler**



