

1 **ACCOMACK COUNTY WETLANDS BOARD MINUTES OF July 18, 2024**

2
3 At a meeting of the Accomack County Wetlands Board held on the 18th day of July, 2024 in the Accomack
4 County Administration Building Board Chambers, Room #104, in Accomac, Virginia.

5
6 **1. CALL TO ORDER**

7
8 **MEMBERS PRESENT**

9 Wetlands Board Members Present

- 10 Mr. T. Lee Byrd, Chairman
11 Mr. George Ward, Vice Chairman
12 Mr. Gene Wayne Taylor
13 Mr. Timothy Getek
14 Mr. Hank Badger

15
16 Others Present

- 17 Ms. Beth Nunnally, Environmental Planner II
18 Ms. Julie Crockett Floyd, Environmental Permit Specialist IV
19 Lee Pambid, Deputy County Administrator
20 Ms. Chontese Ridley, Planner I
21 Ms. Claire Gorman, Virginia Marine Resources Commission (VMRC)
22 Mr. Daniel Royster (VMRC)

23
24 **DETERMINATION OF A QUORUM**

25 There being a quorum, Chairman Byrd called the meeting to order at 10:00 a.m.

26
27 **2. ADOPTION OF AGENDA**

28 **On a motion made by Badger and seconded by Mr. Getek, the Wetlands Board voted to approve the**
29 **agenda.**

30
31 **3. MINUTES**

32 **A. April 25, 2024**

33 **On a motion made by Mr. Getek and seconded by Mr. Badger, the Wetlands Board voted to**
34 **approve the April 25, 2024 minutes.**

35
36 *The Board is aware of and complies as closely as possible to the objectives of the Virginia*
37 *Marine Resources Commission policy of no net loss. All approved permits are granted for a two-*
38 *year period from the date of this hearing unless specifically noted. All persons speaking before*
39 *this Board will be sworn in.*

40
41 **4. OLD BUSINESS**

42 **Gemcraft Homes – VMRC#2024-0974**

43 Construction of a new bulkhead 2’ channelward of failing bulkhead in Captain’s Cove, lot 1170 –
44 Tax Map#5A1-1-1170

45
46 **Ms. Beth Nunnally was sworn in by Chairman Byrd.**

47
48 **Ms. Beth Nunnally**

49 Mr. Raymond Britton contacted us and asked us to please continue it until next month.

51 **The Board discussed that applicants would need to submit a new JPA after two extensions,**
52 **but that there was no rule for more than 2 continuances.**

53
54
55 **On a motion made by Mr. Getek and seconded by Mr. Taylor,**
56 **the Wetlands Board voted on a continuance until the August 22nd meeting for**
57 **Gemcraft Homes, LLC - VMRC# 2024- 0974**
58 for the proposed construction of a new bulkhead 2' channelward of failing bulkhead in
59 Captain's Cove, lot 1170 - Tax Map#5A1-1-1170
60

61
62 **5. NEW BUSINESS**

63
64
65 **Ms. Beth Nunnally**

66 There are four different projects for this meeting and we're going to look at them all together because they
67 are all in line with each other, side by side, at the Lighthouse Landing Townhome.
68

69 The first one we'll look at here, as he said, is Gilson. JPA number 2024-0830. The Agent is Charles Ward.
70 The location is tax map number 30A6-22-3 on Assateague View Lane. The waterway is a boat basin off
71 of Sheepshead Creek. The structure is 23 linear feet of bulkhead replacement that will be installed 2 feet
72 channelward. The construction Method is a C-lock vinyl sheeting installed 2 feet channelward; 10" by 10'
73 treated pilings and galvanized steel tie backs. The work will be done from land and barge. The current use
74 is residential townhomes. There is a wetland impact of 46 square feet and nowhere on the property to do
75 that mitigation. So, the in-lieu fee would be \$828. This project is on Chincoteague, on the backside
76 overlooking Assateague on Oyster Bay. This is the location right in here. As you can see this whole
77 bulkhead will be replaced and it's owned by four different people. This is the portion of the bulkhead here
78 and this is the drawing. The drawing is missing mean low and mean high tide lines. here's the cross
79 section as well. Any questions about that one?
80

81 **Mr. Gene Wayne Taylor**

82 Is the cross section drawing okay?
83

84 **Ms. Beth Nunnally**

85 It does have mean low and mean high in the wrong location. He needs to revise the cross section as well
86 right here. The mean high is right here and the mean low would be down here.
87

88 The Next one is JPA 2024-0831. The agent is Charles Ward. The owner is Charles Gould; tax map
89 number 30A6-22-2. It is on Assateague View Lane as well. Also, the boat basin is off Sheepshead Creek.
90 This one is 39 feet in length. The construction method is C locking vinyl sheeting bulkhead; two foot
91 channelward with five treated pilings; galvanized steel hardware fasteners; work from land and barge.
92 Also, in the townhome. The wetlands impact on that one is 78 square feet of non-vegetated wetlands. As
93 before, there's nowhere on site to mitigate so that cost would be \$1,404.
94

95 **Mr. Timothy Getek**

96 The drawing needs to be revised on this one too, to indicate mean low water where it is?
97

98 **Ms. Beth Nunnally**

99 Yes sir.
100

101 This one is JPA 2024-0832 Lighthouse Landing Owners Association. Tax map number 30A6-22-A, also
102 on Assateague View Lane. The waterway is a boat basin off of Sheepshead Creek. The structure we're
103 speaking of now is 89 feet in length. The replacement is connected to the other adjoining bulkheads. Just
104 like the others, the construction method is C-locking vinyl sheeting installed 2' channelward; return wall
105 at the easterly terminus; 13- 10" by 10' pilings with galvanized tie backs and fasteners; and the work will
106 be done from uplands in the barge. There are residential townhomes. With a wetlands impact of 178
107 square feet of non-vegetated wetlands that can't be mitigated on site the costs would be \$3,204. This is a
108 picture of that. It goes this way and then it turns down here this way. This is that corner. Then it ends into
109 the next property.

110
111 **Mr. Timothy Getek**
112 Just a quick question. Do they dredge that boat basin occasionally?
113

114 **Ms. Beth Nunnally**
115 They have dredged this boat and Chuck will address that when he gets to it. He did say in his application
116 that it has been dredged in the past. This is the schematic of that bulkhead that will be 2' channelward.
117

118 **Mr. Hank Badger**
119 I don't see any dimensions across the front of the proposed bulkhead, and I don't see any on the return
120 wall either.
121

122 **Ms. Beth Nunnally**
123 It's just a bulkhead.
124

125 **Mr. Hank Badger**
126 I don't see any dimensions on that either.
127

128 **Ms. Beth Nunnally**
129 Okay, well need to put that on all of them. Any questions other than that? All right, we'll move on to the
130 next one.
131

132 This is the next one. For the same location JPA number 2024-0833. The agent again is Charles Ward,
133 property owner is Mr. Raymond Blunt, tax map number is 30A6-22-1. It is also on Assateague View
134 Lane; the waterway is a boat basin off of Sheepshead Creek. The structure is 31 linear feet of bulkhead
135 replacement connected to the adjoining bulkheads to the east. Construction Method is a 31-foot vinyl
136 installed two foot channelward with the C-locking vinyl. 10-foot return wall at the westerly terminus. Of
137 course, it's in the same residential townhomes and the non-vegetated wetlands is 62 square feet with
138 nowhere to mitigate. So that would be a cost of \$1,116. This is that bulkhead here.
139

140 **Mr. Hank Badger**
141 Same thing on that, dimension would have to be added on.
142

143 **Mr. Timothy Getek**
144 First of all, that return wall, are we going to replace that in line, or am I mistaken on that?
145

146 **Ms. Beth Nunnally**
147 It's part of the bulkhead.
148

149 **Mr. Timothy Getek**
150 So, we're going to take that out and put in a fresh bulkhead and not have it 2 feet channelward, Is that
151 correct? Oh, I'll just wait (for public comment).

152
153 **CHAIRMAN BYRD OPENED THE FLOOR TO PUBLIC COMMENT**

154 Mr. Byrd asked if there was anyone who wished to comment on any of the applications being
155 heard today.

156
157 Mr. Charles (Chuck) Ward was sworn in by Mr. Byrd.
158

159
160 **Charles (Chuck) Ward**

161 Gilson. All four of these are contiguous. It's bulkhead after bulkhead after bulkhead. Because it's separate
162 parcels of record we have to do four different applications. They're all very small. The ones up against
163 the townhouses, as you saw on that picture, were way too close. Subsidence is a big concern, obviously,
164 when you're that close to those townhouses. We are probably going to be using platypus anchors for tie
165 backs on those. The contractor hasn't decided exactly what he's going to do. He's working with the
166 homeowners on that. We know what the front of the bulkheads going to look like. The anchoring in the
167 upland is still being worked out between the contractor and the property owners. The one thing I do want
168 to correct on this is in my application, I refer to 10 inches by 10-foot pilings for the deadman anchors. I
169 don't put a length usually on the bulkhead piling lengths, because the contractor's personal knowledge,
170 his professional knowledge of the area, and that first test pole that he puts in, will determine how long the
171 poles have to be anyway. Usually, we're guessing at sixteens for the piling length, but if they need to be
172 longer, the contractor is going to make that call based on what he hits. So, I don't want to confuse it by
173 looking like we're using 10-foot pilings.
174

175 **Ms. Beth Nunnally**

176 In the Application it says 10-foot pilings.
177

178 **Mr. Gene Wayne Taylor**

179 Deadman anchors are ten feet.
180

181 **Mr. T. Lee Byrd**

182 That's fine. But as far as the length of the piles, that will be determined when he drives the test pile.
183

184 **Charles (Chuck) Ward**

185 Absolutely. That first one will determine if he needs to go longer
186

187 **Mr. T. Lee Byrd**

188 All right, continue on anything else on
189

190 **Charles (Chuck) Ward**

191 Nothing interesting on this, but as with all the others, doing any wetland mitigation on site is impossible,
192 so we're requesting that we pay the in-lieu fee.
193

194 **Mr. T. Lee Byrd**

195 And we will ask you all of these, so he has no issue with the \$828.46 fee.
196

197 **Charles (Chuck) Ward**

198 Excellent. And I do show here on the aerial views that I will put the length and adjust the mean high and
199 mean low water. I had drafted these plans up on my initial visit, and I had not been back yet on the dead
200 low tide.
201

202 **Mr. T. Lee Byrd**

203 You will revise with dimension?
204

205 **Charles (Chuck) Ward**

206 I've got it in my notes right here - dimensions and length.
207

208 **Mr. T. Lee Byrd**

209 And just one more time. This gentleman has no issue with the 46 square feet, which is \$828.
210

211 **Charles (Chuck) Ward**

212 No, sir. They're all very much aware of that. These are the numbers that I gave to the property owners as
213 an estimate so they're all aware, and I will revise the mean high and mean low water on all four of these
214 applications.
215

216 This is Gould, same situation. Going two feet out in front of the existing bulkhead. We'll be using at least
217 sixteens or greater in length pilings, using three quarter inch galvanized tie backs most likely. And we'll
218 try to get a barge in there. I mean we're happy to have a barge in there to do the work along the front of
219 the townhouses. Obviously they'll be moving on high tide. Again, the property owner here, Mr. Gould, is
220 aware of the \$1,404 estimate given for that.
221

222 **Mr. T. Lee Byrd**

223 And as far as the 16-foot, that is a guess, but that's not your decision to make.
224

225 **Charles (Chuck) Ward**

226 No, sir, I'm going to leave that up to the to the guy driving the poles. He knows he knows when he hits a
227 hard pan. He knows when we get something solid.
228

229 **Mr. T. Lee Byrd**

230 Go on. Your next will be Lighthouse Landing Owners Association.
231

232 **Charles (Chuck) Ward**

233 Yes, sir. And the representative for the Lighthouse Landing Association. is Dr. Jim Nichol. He's the
234 former eye doctor there in Pocomoke. I've been dealing with him. He's the signatory for the Homeowner's
235 Association. All the homeowners have been made aware, through Dr. Nichol, of the \$3,204 mitigation fee
236 on this one. And as I mentioned earlier, the bulkhead is an L shape that looks like a return wall, but
237 essentially it serves as a bulkhead. High water does hit that bulkhead on the high tide.
238

239 **Mr. Timothy Getek**

240 Is there a return? I understand the L shape is a bulkhead.
241

242 **Charles (Chuck) Ward**

243 It is going to tie into the adjacent bulkhead.
244

245 **Mr. Timothy Getek**

246 There's no return wall? We're concerned about a lot of issues with people not wanting to return walls and
247 there's adjacent erosions
248

249 **Charles (Chuck) Ward**

250 The only way there may be a short return wall there is if for some reason the bulkhead adjacent to it is not
251 capable of holding something back and the contractor may feel the need to come into the uplands maybe
252 four feet just to prevent any erosion, But I don't think we're going to see that. Not tying in correctly, I've

253 seen that. So that's the plan there, to tie into the existing. Again, longer pilings than what's shown here.
254 And the homeowners are aware of the expense.
255

256 As for the dredging question, based on my research looking at historic photos, the best I could come up
257 with is, this was dredged out sometime in the 50s and I don't know how many times it's been dredged
258 since then. I do know there has there had to have been some dredging since then or else it wouldn't have
259 any water in there at all, in high tide probably, so that is a 1950s manmade basin.
260

261 **Mr. Timothy Getek**

262 I didn't really see that much shellfish out there I guess in that area I didn't see anything on the pilings, so
263 no need to be overly concerned?
264

265 **Charles (Chuck) Ward**

266 There are a few.
267

268 **Mr. Timothy Getek**

269 If there's a major amount and you could relocate them, that'd be good.
270

271 **Charles (Chuck) Ward**

272 Yeah, I mean, we can get definitely get those pushed off shore, the homeowners might even enjoy going
273 out there and relocating them somewhere where they can get them later.
274

275 **Mr. Hank Badger**

276 It's all condemned.
277

278 **Charles (Chuck) Ward**

279 The only other information I can give you is that the homeowners are all in agreement. They're all ready
280 to go. They've got a contract, and they're ready to move forward. They're all excited about it. The finger
281 piers that are there, there's not going to be any change to those. They're going to remain. I think one of
282 them actually will disappear. But there at least won't be any new or lengthened or anything like that. I
283 think the one finger pier might go away something that they mentioned. They have two right now, but
284 there's not going to be any changes to the piers or the offshore mooring poles or anything.
285

286 **Mr. Timothy Getek**

287 The project I have a question about is Mr. Blunt's site plan. I'm sort of curious, going back to what I
288 mentioned earlier that bulkhead on the front where the kayaks are, yes. So, you're going to put that one in
289 line.
290

291 **Charles (Chuck) Ward**

292 I'm sorry, there is a return wall on this. And I'm glad you brought that up. Thank you, there is a return
293 wall that heads in the direction that these kayaks are pointing, there's a return wall that runs parallel to
294 that end unit townhouse. That return wall will be replaced, probably in the same footprint, because that is
295 the property line.
296

297 **Mr. Timothy Getek**

298 Right. And also, this is a bigger question I had. on that corner there, I thought I saw some vegetated
299 wetlands there. And I like to get people's opinion on that. If they saw anything like that, right there where
300 the kayaks are. And then depending on what you got to do on the side, which now you say you're going to
301 do in kind will impact that.
302

303 **Charles (Chuck) Ward**

304 I have a pretty strong feeling that we're in talking with Dr. Nichol, that the return wall runs right along the
305 property line. So, the option of going two feet out is, you got to go to the neighbor and ask him if you can
306 build a return wall on their property.
307

308 **Mr. Timothy Getek**

309 Is the neighbor the Lighthouse Landing LLC, there or is it another neighbor completely?
310

311 **Charles (Chuck) Ward**

312 It's a separate neighbor that we do not assume to be cooperative. We don't know. But we're not assuming.
313

314 **Mr. T. Lee Byrd**

315 For the moment we're assuming they will have nothing to do with it.
316

317 **Charles (Chuck) Ward**

318 Yes. Because they haven't been asked and the homeowners don't want to ask.
319

320 **Mr. T. Lee Byrd**

321 So, for Blunt, 62 square feet to \$1,116. Does that include the foot or two of veg?
322

323 **Mr. Timothy Getek**

324 We want to categorize that make like two or three feet of that vegetated?
325

326 **Ms. Beth Nunnally**

327 I'll go out and look again, and determine what that square footage is. And add it or subtract.
328

329 **Mr. T. Lee Byrd**

330 For a total a total of 62. How about that? It will be 62. Agreed? All right. Anything else? Mr. Ward?
331

332 **Mr. Timothy Getek**

333 I do have one more thing, in terms of your neighbors, that return walls that return wall there or new
334 bulkhead. How are you going to get to that? The neighbors are you going to be able to do that from the
335 barge side?
336

337 **Charles (Chuck) Ward**

338 A long reach on the excavator. The Excavator can reach out far enough to get it up in there, whatever it
339 has to be 15 or 20 feet. He can reach and pull; he can remove and install from the barge obviously. He has
340 got to get in there on an extremely high tide. But yeah, he'll work from that and then he won't have to
341 access the neighbor's property at all. No, Sir.
342

343 **Mr. T. Lee Byrd**

344 When we get to this gentleman, as we all know, and offered the approval or do not, our statement is that
345 you don't have the authority to go on someone else's property, so that will take care of that. Okay, is there
346 anything else you wish to say about this particular one?
347

348 **Charles (Chuck) Ward**

349 No, sir. Just thanks for your time.
350

351 **CHAIRMAN BYRD CLOSED THE FLOOR TO PUBLIC COMMENT**
352

353 **Mr. Timothy Getek**

354
355
356
357
358
359
360
361
362
363
364
365
366
367
368
369
370
371
372
373
374
375
376
377
378
379
380
381
382
383
384
385
386
387
388
389
390
391
392
393
394
395
396
397
398
399
400
401
402
403
404

I just more of a curiosity being that this is Chincoteague Island, the property lines are sort of unique. The way they come off the house, was that just owned by somebody else at one time or is that just the way it was designed?

Mr. Gene Wayne Taylor

It's just a survey line I would say. You can't go over on somebody else property.

Mr. Timothy Getek

Yeah, it's sort of like the property line didn't follow parallel with the particular house and sort of juttet out a little bit. I guess that was done to give everybody a little dock so they can have that for their own sake. I'm just sort of curious about that.

Mr. T. Lee Byrd

All right. Any other questions from you on any of these four projects? Ms. Gorman, do you have anything to add? Nothing at all? All right. So, I guess that takes care of these four projects. If there's nothing else that the board has to offer, then I might ask for a motion. When the motion is read it will be separate and separate square footage. I'm going to ask for a motion.

A. Charles Gilson – VMRC#2024-0830

New 23' bulkhead to replace failing bulkhead at 7156 Assateague View Lane on Chincoteague, VA, - Tax Map#30A6-22-3

On a motion made by Mr. Taylor and seconded by Mr. Getek, the Wetlands Board voted to accept the proposed JPA with the following conditions:

- a. *Revised bulkhead cross-section to show correct location of mean low water (MLW)*
- b. *Revised bulkhead plan to show dimensions on bulkheads and MLW and MHW*
- c. *Revised tie back plan revisions to show only the diameter and not include the length.*
- d. *Pay a total of \$828.00 into the mitigation fund for the 46 square feet of impacts to non-vegetated wetlands.*

B. Charles Gould – VMRC#2024-0831

New 39' bulkhead to replace failing bulkhead at 7154 Assateague View Lane on Chincoteague, VA, - Tax Map#30A6-22-2

On a motion made by Mr. Taylor and seconded by Mr. Badger, the Wetlands Board voted to accept the proposed JPA with the following conditions:

- a. *Revised bulkhead cross-section to show correct location of mean low water (MLW)*
- b. *Revised bulkhead plan to show dimensions on bulkheads and MLW and MHW*
- c. *Revised tie back plan revisions to show only the diameter and not include the length.*
- d. *Pay a total of \$1,404.00 into the mitigation fund for the 78 square feet of impacts to non-vegetated wetlands.*

C. Lighthouse Landing Owners Association– VMRC#2024-0832

New 39' bulkhead to replace failing bulkhead at 7138 Assateague View Lane on Chincoteague, VA, - Tax Map#30A6-22-A

On a motion made by Mr. Taylor and seconded by Mr. Getek, the Wetlands Board voted to accept the proposed JPA with the following conditions:

- 405 a. *Revised bulkhead cross-section to show correct location of mean low water (MLW)*
- 406 b. *Revised bulkhead plan to show dimensions on bulkheads and MLW and MHW*
- 407 c. *Revised tie back plan revisions to show only the diameter and not include the length.*
- 408 d. *Pay a total of \$3,204.00 into the mitigation fund for the 178 square feet of impacts to non-vegetated*
- 409 *wetlands.*

410

411

412 **D. Raymond Blunt– VMRC#2024-0833**

413 New 39’ bulkhead to replace failing bulkhead at 7152 Assateague View Lane on Chincoteague, VA, -

414 Tax Map#30A6-22-1

415

416 **On a motion made by Mr. Taylor and seconded by Mr. Badger, the Wetlands Board voted to**

417 **accept the proposed JPA with the following conditions:**

- 418 a. *Revised bulkhead cross-section to show correct location of mean low water (MLW)*
- 419 b. *Revised bulkhead plan to show dimensions on bulkheads and MLW and MHW*
- 420 c. *Revised tie back plan revisions to show only the diameter and not include the length.*
- 421 d. *Pay a total of \$1,116.00 into the mitigation fund for the 52 square feet of impacts to non-vegetated*
- 422 *wetlands and 10 square feet of impacts to vegetated wetlands.*

423

424 **6. OTHER BUSINESS**

425 **A. Remote Participation Policy**

426

427 **Ms. Chontese Ridley**

428 Our other business topic today is on remote participation. Some changes have been made to the state

429 code. So, in front of you, you'll have the change to the state code. And you also have the definition of the

430 disability types that it speaks on within these code changes. So, on July 1, 2024, the law governing the

431 remote participation of individual board members of a public body, changed. The updates are as follows.

432 This will allow an individual member of the public body to participate remotely if the member is a

433 caregiver who must provide care for a person with a disability when the public meeting is being held. It

434 will also allow the public body to 1. Include a member participating remotely, due to a disability, or 2. A

435 member participating remotely because they are a caregiver for a person with a disability, count towards

436 the establishment of a quorum, even if that person is not present at the meeting. For this allowance the

437 public body is to apply the types of disability set out in Virginia code section 51.5-40.1. And that is the

438 definition that you have in front of you. The Board of Supervisors has already begun the process of

439 amending and updating their rules of procedures. The Planning Commission voted to update their bylaws

440 at their July 10 meeting and the BZA who also met on July 10 gave staff their consent to propose

441 language that will work with their rules and procedures. The BZA will vote to approve that language

442 and those amendments at their next meeting. The Wetlands Board currently does not have a policies and

443 procedures. Chris Govenator, who was the former director of environmental programs, he and the former

444 county attorney Cela Burge, they were working on it before both of them left the county but it was never

445 finalized. So, some next steps, staff will work on getting that draft completed. Once that draft is

446 completed, it'll be sent out to you all so that you can review it and then at the next meeting, you all will

447 go over that draft document. That draft document will also include a section on remote participation.

448 This was something that was stated at the BZA meeting. The Planning Commission, in their bylaws, it

449 states that when a change needs to be made, the change is proposed to them and then they wait a 30-day

450 period to vote on or approve those changes. Mr. Lee Pambid and our county attorney Miss Jan Proctor

451 also think that that would be best practice for the Wetlands Board. So today we'll start those 30 days

452 which gives plenty of time for you all to be able to review that draft at your next meeting. That is all I

453 have for you. Did you have any questions?

454

455 **Mr. Hank Badger**

456 This really doesn't have anything to do with the members being able to do it remotely? Is that correct?
457

458 **Ms. Chontese Ridley**

459 Yes, that's for you all. If you could not attend the meeting for either of these reasons, you would still be
460 counted as part of the forum?
461

462 **Mr. Hank Badger**

463 If you've got some handicap issues, that you need some help with family members, or what have you.
464 You're you can do it remotely. Yeah. How about if you're on vacation?
465

466 **Ms. Chontese Ridley**

467 Well, this portion is just for this, but that's something I can look into for you. I don't believe so. But this
468 change is only on the disability factor.
469

470 **Mr. T. Lee Byrd**

471 Ma'am. I served on several town boards in the town of Onancock. And if it's predetermined that you will
472 not be there but you're needed if you have a particular issue, then you just, from the computer, you can
473 come in just like a conference call?
474

475 **Ms. Chontese Ridley**

476 Yes, I just don't think the person absent would apply to the quorum.
477

478 **Mr. T. Lee Byrd**

479 That is absolutely correct. But they can speak if needed, and they certainly can observe the meeting.
480 That's correct. Okay. And that can be arranged for wetlands also?
481

482 **Ms. Chontese Ridley**

483 Similar to what we did during COVID. We did the remote participation then. So yes, if you let us know
484 ahead of time, which we would need to know ahead of time, we would have that setup with I.T. so that
485 you will be able to participate remotely, if needed.
486

487 **Mr. Timothy Getek**

488 Just to clarify that comment. So, if someone does call in remotely, at least from the Wetlands Board once
489 this is set up, it will count toward a quorum, or it will not?
490

491 **Ms. Chontese Ridley**

492 Only for bullet number two, only those read would apply. But for anything else, you can still participate
493 remotely, it just won't count towards the quorum.
494

495 **Mr. T. Lee Byrd**

496 You have a quorum or you don't with people around this desk. A person calling in can do the same thing
497 we all do, but he doesn't count as part of the quorum...
498

499 **Ms. Chontese Ridley**

500 Unless it applies to one of these reasons and the definition of disability.
501
502
503

504 **7. NEXT MEETING**
505

506
507
508
509
510
511
512
513
514
515
516
517
518
519
520
521
522
523
524

The next Wetlands Board meeting is scheduled for Thursday August 22, 2024 at 10:00 a.m. in the Accomack County Board Chambers, Room 104, in Accomac, VA.

8. **ADJOURNMENT**

On a motion made by Mr. Getek and seconded by Mr. Badger, the Wetlands Board voted to adjourn the meeting.

The meeting was adjourned at 12:22 p.m.

T. Lee Byrd, Chairman

Julie Crockett Floyd, Environmental Permit Specialist IV