

1 **ACCOMACK COUNTY WETLANDS MINUTES OF JULY 27, 2023**

2 At a meeting of the Accomack County Wetlands Board held on the 27th day of JULY 2023 in the Accomack County
3 Administration Building Board Chambers, Room #104, in Accomac, Virginia.

4 **1. CALL TO ORDER**

5 **MEMBERS PRESENT AND ABSENT**

6 Wetland Board Members Present

7 Mr. T. Lee Byrd, Chairman

8 Mr. George Ward, Vice Chairman

9 Mr. Gene Wayne Taylor

10 Mr. George H. Badger

11 Mr. David Montgomery, Alternate

12
13 **Others Present:**

14 Ms. Chontese Ridley, Environmental Permit Specialist

15 Mrs. Beth Nunnally, Environmental Planner II

16 Ms. Claire Gorman, Virginia Marine Resources Commission (VMRC)

17 Mr. Leander Pambid, Deputy County Administrator for Building, Planning & Economic
18 Development

19
20 **DETERMINATION OF A QUORUM**

21 There being a quorum, Chairman Byrd called the meeting to order at 10:00 a.m.

22
23 **2. ADOPTION OF AGENDA**

24 **On a motion made by Mr. Taylor and seconded by Mr. Montgomery, the Wetlands Board voted**
25 **to approve the agenda.**

26 **3. MINUTES**

27 **A. May 25, 2023**

28
29 **On a motion made by Mr. Taylor and seconded by Mr. Badger, the Wetlands Board voted**
30 **to approve the May 25, 2023 minutes.**

31
32 **B. June 22, 2023**

33
34 **On a motion made by Mr. Taylor and seconded by Mr. Badger, the Wetlands Board voted**
35 **to approve the June 22, 2023 minutes.**

36
37 **4. OLD BUSINESS**

38 **A. Benton & Tina Davenport- VMRC# 2023- 0694**

39 Proposed replacement of two short, failing bulkheads around a culvert pipe with two 25 feet bulkheads.
40 Also, the installation of two 20 feet long bulkheads under a farm road and a new 24 inch culvert pipe located
41 in Hallwood, VA 23359, tax map#(s) 39-A-108.
42

43 Ms. Nunnally, (Environmental Programs), stood before the Wetlands Board and was sworn in by
44 Chairman Byrd.

45
46 Ms. Nunnally explained that this application was continued from the June meeting. At the time
47 they did not have a mitigation plan, and they were waiting on approval from VDOT.

48
49 Ms. Nunnally said VDOT approval had been received and pointed out the mitigation site.

50
51 Ms. Nunnally said the planting plan included 180 square feet of spartina patens from nurse stock
52 and would be monitored for three years.

53
54 Ms. Nunnally then went over the drawings.

55
56 *NO AGENT WAS PRESENT TO SPEAK ON BEHALF OF THE APPLICANT*

57
58 **CHAIRMAN BYRD OPENED THE FLOOR TO PUBLIC COMMENT**

59 Mr. Byrd asked if there was anyone who wanted to comment on application 2023- 0694.

60
61 No one wanted to comment.

62 **CHAIRMAN BYRD CLOSED THE FLOOR TO PUBLIC COMMENT**

63
64 **On a motion made by Mr. Badger and seconded by Mr. Montgomery, the Wetlands Board**
65 **voted to issue a permit (valid for (1) year, for Benton & Tina Davenport- VMRC# 2023-**
66 **0694, for the proposed replacement of two short, failing bulkheads around a culvert pipe**
67 **with two 25 feet bulkheads. Also, the installation of two 20 feet long bulkheads under a farm**
68 **road and a new 24 inch culvert pipe. The property is located Hallwood, VA 23359, tax**
69 **map#(s) 39-A-108.**

70
71 After the motion was made Mr. Badger had some additional comments.

72
73 Mr. Badger said part of the [Virginia] code section: 28.2-1303.9 states:

- 74 • *The following uses and activities in wetlands are authorized if otherwise permitted by law*
75 ○ *The normal maintenance and repair of or addition to presently existing roads,*
76 *highways, railroad beds, or facilities abutting on or crossing wetlands, provided*
77 *that no waterway is altered and no additional wetlands are covered.*

78
79 Mr. Badger said additional wetlands were being covered here so they were not exempt.

80
81 **5. NEW BUSINESS**

82 **A. George H. Miller- VMRC# 2023- 1048**

83 Proposed replacement of 40 square feet of failed, existing bulkhead and the installation of a new vinyl
84 bulkhead 2 feet channelward of the existing bulkhead, located on Chincoteague Island, VA 23336, tax
85 map#(s) 30A2-A-25A.

86
87 Ms. Claire Gorman, (VMRC), appeared before the Wetlands Board and was sworn in by
88 Chairman Byrd.

90 Ms. Nunnally gave a summary of the project adding that there were impacts to vegetated and non-
91 vegetated wetlands totaling in \$1440 in mitigation fees.

92
93 Mr. Charles “Chuck” Ward, (Starboard Environmental), appeared before the Wetlands Board on
94 behalf of the applicant and was sworn in by Chairman Byrd.

95
96 Mr. C. Ward said there was grass in front of failing bulkhead growing through the material.

97
98 Mr. C. Ward said due to the size of the lot and the expense of having to excavate to dig out the
99 old wooden bulkhead a pilings, Mr. Miller was requesting to go two feet channelward.

100
101 Mr. C. Ward said in going two feet channelward they could leave the existing bulkhead in place,
102 cut it off, and leave it there.

103
104 Mr. Badger asked Mr. C. Ward if there was a reason why they could not move the bulkhead out
105 of the Wetlands Board’s jurisdiction.

106
107 Mr. C. Ward said the owner only had about 55 – 60 feet the bulkhead and Main Street now, and
108 it would be tough to convince the owner to do that.

109
110 Mr. C. Ward said going two feet channelward would cause less disturbance to the less and less
111 disposal of materials.

112
113 Mr. Badger asked if the bulkhead had failed and Mr. C. Ward said failure was there.

114
115 Mr. Taylor said he thought there was something else on the property, wooden and behind the
116 bulkhead that would prevent them from putting the new bulkhead inside the current bulkhead.

117
118 Mr. Taylor said that would also have to be excavated if the proposed bulkhead was pulled back.

119
120 Mr. Badger asked if vegetated wetlands were involved and Mr. C. Ward said there was some.

121
122 Ms. Nunnally said it was mixed in.

123
124 Mr. Byrd asked if anyone had done a measurement and Ms. Nunnally said 80 square feet.

125
126 Mr. Byrd asked Mr. C. Ward if his customer agreed with that and Mr. C. Ward said yes.

127
128 Mr. Badger said most of it was non-vegetated.

129
130 Mr. Badger asked if the number was broken down into vegetated and non-vegetated, because
131 VIMS liked to see the numbers.

132
133 Mr. C. Ward said it was less than 10% vegetated.

134 Ms. Nunnally asked Mr. C. Ward to revise page 17 of the application.

135
136 Mr. Taylor said 8 square feet of vegetated wetlands and 72 square feet of non-vegetated wetlands
137 and everyone agreed.

140 **CHAIRMAN BYRD OPENED THE FLOOR TO PUBLIC COMMENT**

141 Mr. Byrd asked if there was anyone who wished to comment on application 2023- 1048.

142
143 No one wished to comment.

144 **CHAIRMAN BYRD CLOSED THE FLOOR TO PUBLIC COMMENT**

145
146 Mr. Byrd asked Ms. Gorman her opinion on the vegetated and non-vegetated wetlands impacts
147 and Ms. Gorman said they were reasonable.

148
149 **On a motion made by Mr. Taylor and seconded by Mr. Montgomery, the Wetlands Board**
150 **voted to issue a permit (valid for (1) year, for George H. Miller- VMRC# 2023- 1048, for the**
151 **proposed replacement of 40 square feet of failed, existing bulkhead and the installation of a**
152 **new vinyl bulkhead 2 feet channelward of the existing bulkhead conditions:**

153 *1. Pay a total of \$1,440.00 into the mitigation fund for 72 square feet of impacts to non-*
154 *vegetated wetlands and 8 square feet of impacts to vegetated wetlands.*

155 **The property is located on Chincoteague Island, VA 23336, tax map#(s) 30A2-A-25A.**

156
157 **B. George H. Miller- VMRC# 2023- 1049**

158 Proposed replacement of 50 square feet of failed, existing wood sheathing bulkhead and the installation of
159 a new vinyl bulkhead 2 feet channelward of the existing bulkhead located on Chincoteague Island, VA
160 23336, tax map#(s) 30A2-A-26.

161
162 Ms. Nunnally gave a summary of the project adding that there were 90 square feet of impacts on
163 the site.

164
165 Mr. Charles “Chuck” Ward, (Starboard Environmental), appeared before the Wetlands Board on
166 behalf of the applicant and was sworn in by Chairman Byrd.

167
168 Mr. C. Ward said the issue for going two feet channelward was due to the presence of an out
169 building, (70-80 years old).

170
171 Mr. C. Ward said the owner of the property wanted to work with the Town to do some work to it
172 and get it fixed.

173
174 Mr. C. Ward said the building was not in shape to move, and the owner was nervous about trying
175 to relocate it somewhere else on the property.

176
177 Mr. Byrd asked Mr. C. Ward if the 90 square feet of impacts seemed right and Mr. C. Ward said
178 yes.

179
180 **CHAIRMAN BYRD OPENED THE FLOOR TO PUBLIC COMMENT**

181 Mr. Byrd asked if there was anyone who wished to comment on application 2023- 1049.

182
183 No one wished to comment.

184 **CHAIRMAN BYRD CLOSED THE FLOOR TO PUBLIC COMMENT**

186 Mr. Badger said this project was different from the previous one with no structure being relatively
187 close. Coming out of the Wetlands Board’s jurisdiction would be particularly hard in this case.
188

189 Mr. Byrd said it was out of the question in his opinion.
190

191 Mr. Badger said the impact from the return was already there so there should not be any more
192 impact than what already existed.
193

194 Mr. Badger added that it was non-vegetation out front and seemed to be appropriate in this case.
195

196 Mr. Badger said there were no onsite mitigation possibilities there, and the in-lieu fee for
197 Accomack County was the way to go if they decided to approve this.
198

199 Mr. Byrd agreed.
200

201 Mr. Ward asked if the bulkhead was going to be placed in the existing footprint or two feet
202 channelward.
203

204 Ms. Nunnally said the side property line bulkhead was in the same footprint.
205

206 **On a motion made by Mr. Taylor and seconded by Mr. Ward, the Wetlands Board voted to**
207 **issue a permit (valid for (1) year, for George H. Miller- VMRC# 2023- 1049, for the**
208 **proposed replacement of 50 square feet of failed, existing wood sheathing bulkhead and the**
209 **installation of a new vinyl bulkhead 2 feet channelward of the existing bulkhead conditions:**

- 210 *1. Pay a total of \$1,620.00 into the mitigation fund for 90 square feet of impacts to*
211 *non-vegetated wetlands.*

212 **The property is located on Chincoteague Island, VA 23336, tax map#(s) 30A2-A-26.**
213

214 **C. Carolyn M. Wright TR- VMRC# 2023- 1260**

215 Proposed construction of 125 square feet navy style bulkhead timber framed/vinyl sheathed with a
216 boardwalk style cap, and the removal and disposal of the existing failed dock. The construction of a 32 feet
217 long by 8 feet wide dock and an 8 feet wide by 5 feet long landing on top of the bulkhead located in the
218 Schooner Bay subdivision of Onancock, VA 23417, tax map#(s) 39-A-108.
219

220 Ms. Nunnally gave a synopsis of the project adding there was no bulkhead to the north causing the
221 water to come around the side.
222

223 Ms. Nunnally said 182 square feet of wetlands were going to be disturbed to get the bulkhead
224 replaced.
225

226 Mr. Badger asked to confirm that there was a protest letter and Ms. Nunnally confirmed that was
227 correct.
228

229 Ms. Nunnally said the letter was from the Schooner Bay Subdivision HOA. The HOA just received
230 the application and had not had time to review it. They wanted time to review it.

231 Mr. Byrd said the Board was going to offer the HOA ten days to review the application being that
232 there was a ten day waiting period from VMRC before the permit could be issued.

233
234 Mr. Johnathan Mudd, (Mudd's Marine Construction), appeared before the Wetlands Board on
235 behalf of the applicant and was sworn in by Chairman Byrd.

236
237 Mr. Mudd said the bulkhead had failed and the applicant wanted to replace it before putting a home
238 on the property.

239
240 Mr. Mudd said they had to do a 40 square feet return wall on the far end, because the adjoining
241 property owner did not have a wall.

242
243 Mr. Mudd said the pier was channelward, but instead of doing that they were going to do the status
244 quo for the area and build a pier along the bulkhead, (fastened to it). There would be a small
245 landing at the top with a small set of stairs going down to it with rails.

246
247 Mr. Badger said it did not look like the bulkhead ever had a return wall. It looked like it just ended
248 into the wetlands.

249
250 Mr. Mudd said it ended into the property, but now the property had eroded.

251
252 Mr. Bader said 3 feet behind the existing pier the escarpment starts and asked why couldn't they
253 run the bulkhead on an angle and bypass the wetlands.

254
255 Mr. Badger said if the next lot wanted to do anything he did not see the Wetlands Board approving
256 a continuation of the bulkhead the way it existed now in front of all that vegetated wetlands.

257
258 Mr. Mudd said they would have to come back to his return wall.

259
260 Mr. Mudd said he did not know if his client would want to lose the property.

261
262 Mr. Badger said the applicant would not be losing property. Mr. Badger added the applicant would
263 not be impacting vegetated wetlands and would be protecting them.

264
265 A short discussion ensued on the topic.

266
267 Mr. Mudd said if the board wanted him to change the direction of the return wall he had no problem
268 with it.

269
270 Mr. Byrd asked Mr. Mudd if he and his client would be happy with the change.

271
272 Mr. Mudd said he did not see the applicant having any problems with it.

275 **CHAIRMAN BYRD OPENED THE FLOOR TO PUBLIC COMMENT**

276 Mr. Byrd asked if there was anyone who wished to comment on application 2023- 1260.
277

278 **Mr. Richard Ocenasek**, Schooner Bay Association Voting Committee Chairman, appeared
279 before the Wetlands Board on behalf of the Schooner Bay HOA, and was sworn in by Chairman
280 Byrd.
281

282 Mr. Ocenasek said they had quite a delay in being notified about the project.
283

284 Mr. Ocenasek asked that they get enough time to review it and that he would like to go to the site
285 with Mr. Mudd.
286

287 Mr. Ocenasek said the return was also one of their concerns and wanted to make sure there would
288 be a good connection with the home owner on the other side.
289

290 Mr. Ocenasek said they had covenants that apply to how far out into the canal or basin it could go.
291

292 Mr. Ocenasek seen the return wall was changed now, but just needed more time review everything.
293

294 Mr. Byrd asked Mr. Ocenasek if 10 days would be enough.
295

296 Mr. Ocenasek said he could meet with Mr. Mudd at the site, he could give his approval on it and
297 give it to the board.
298

299 Mr. Byrd said the motion needed to include the approval of the Schooner Bay Association.
300

301 Mr. Ward said that the approval did not need to be left open.
302

303 Mr. Ocenasek said he would like to get this done as soon as possible with hurricane season coming.
304

305 Mr. Byrd agreed with Mr. Ward that the approval could not be left open.
306

307 Mr. Byrd asked Mr. Ocenasek if he agreed to the 10 days and Mr. Ocenasek agreed.
308

309 Mr. Badger said this was the first time he had seen the Association respond to a bulkhead and
310 asked if this was something new.
311

312 Mr. Ocenasek said they usually get notified first and most of them were straight forward.
313

314 **Anita C. Johnson**, (Attorney at Law, PLLC), appeared before the Wetlands Board on behalf of
315 the Wright Family Trust.
316

317 Ms. Johnson said she had been in touch with the HOA attorney, they spoke on the phone the day
318 before the meeting, emailed her the application, and told her she would answer any questions.

319 Ms. Johnson said she thought her client and the HOA got off on the wrong foot, and thought that
320 she was being targeted.

321
322 Ms. Johnson said they were working on it and believed they would be able to resolve it.

323
324 Mr. Byrd said the Schooner Bay Association needed time to look the application over and have
325 time to agree or not to agree, and hoped that Ms. Johnson agreed.

326
327 Ms. Johnson said she did. The 10 days would be appropriate.

328
329 Mr. Leander Pambid, Deputy County Administrator for Building, Planning & Economic
330 Development, appeared before the Wetlands Board and was sworn in by Chairman Byrd.

331
332 Mr. Pambid said he was made aware of the letter the day before the meeting. Hearing everything
333 that had been said, trying to keep the project moving, trying to balance the interest on staff's and
334 the Wetlands Board's side, making sure that it is reviewed correctly and that it accomplishes what
335 the Board needs it to accomplish with the minimum amount of impacts to wetlands, that Mr.
336 Badger's solution seemed like a reasonable thing to do, but that would be the Board's decision.

337
338 Mr. Pambid said on the comment to defer to the next month to give everyone time to review, versus
339 the 10 days before Mr. Mudd is allowed to start, that there was one other option.

340
341 Mr. Pambid said it could be put in the motion to tie it to another part of the permitting process,
342 which would be the building permit.

343
344 Mr. Pambid said they could put something in the motion to the effect of:

345 *"A building permit shall not be issued until a plan to show the return to the escarpment has*
346 *been submitted to staff, reviewed, and approved."*

347
348 Mr. Badger said they did not necessarily have to do that, but he did not see a downside to doing it.

349
350 Mr. Pambid said it was definitely within their authority to put that type of stipulation in there.

351
352 Mr. Pambid added that he did not think most people realized the Wetlands Board was not the last
353 step, but the building permit was.

354
355 Mr. Pambid also stressed the need for clear plans.

356
357 Ms. Nunnally asked if in the motion that if the new bulkhead would have different turn, besides
358 the 90 degree, it could be defined whether it is above all of the wetlands and none would be
359 impacted.

360
361 Mr. Ward asked Mr. Mudd if angled the bulkhead 30-45 degrees if he could get out the wetlands,
362 and he said yes.

363 Mr. Mudd said he would put a string there with some markers on it, take some pictures of it, have
364 his wife amend it with the new drawings, and get it to Ms. Nunnally.

365
366 Mr. Ward suggested, in order to not continue, to have Ms. Nunnally go and confirm the impacts
367 are less than what was already determined.

368
369 **Ms. Ellen Grimes**, (CRM, LLC), stood before the Wetlands Board and was sworn in by Chairman
370 Byrd.

371
372 Ms. Grimes suggested a design changed since they were worried about the vegetated wetlands.

373
374 Ms. Grimes said they could leave the section of the wall there, cut it in half, and leave it as a sill
375 like breakwater.

376
377 A short discussion ensued.

378
379 Mr. Badger mentioned that there was a previous application that was reviewed VMRC, because
380 they did not have defined wetlands impacts.

381
382 Mr. Badger said they were going in the same direction again, the impacts were not being defined
383 and they were voting on it.

384
385 Mr. Badger said if Mr. Mudd pulled the bulkhead back so that it was not in the Board's jurisdiction
386 it would be a different story.

387
388 Mr. Byrd said if that was done the Board would not have anything to say.

389
390 Mr. Montgomery asked how many feet would that be, and Mr. Mudd said about 20 feet.

391
392 A discussion ensued on how the applicant/agent should handle revising the application if the
393 bulkhead were to be moved out of the Board's jurisdiction, and how the board should go about
394 approving or denying it.

395
396 Ms. Nunnally said that Mr. Mudd would have to resubmit if the board denied the application and
397 would receive a no permit needed letter. That would take another month.

398
399 Mr. Byrd, asked to confirm, that the motion would have to be approved with stipulations to avoid
400 the application being resubmitted. Ms. Nunnally confirmed.

401
402 Ms. Gorman said if the project was out of their jurisdiction the HOA would become a non-issue
403 and did not need to be included in the motion.

405 Ms. Gorman said that if the motion included all of the specifics of what the revisions needed to
406 include, and staff confirms that those specifications are in those revisions, then everyone should
407 be good.

408
409 Mr. Mudd had concerns about removing the small piece of wall even if he did move the proposed
410 new bulkhead out of the board's jurisdiction.

411
412 Mr. Badger said the removal was not a problem as long as he was not disturbing the bottom. It
413 was removing a derelict building.

414
415 Mr. Montgomery suggested removing the HOA from their motion as a stipulation for approval if
416 the bulkhead would be moved out of the board's jurisdiction.

417
418 The board members agreed.

419
420 Mr. Pambid said there was a question as to what the board was approving or denying.

421
422 Mr. Pambid said another option, if the home owner going to be pulling the bulkhead out of the
423 board's jurisdiction, was to withdraw the application.

424
425 Ms. Nunnally stated that the applicant would then have to reapply and restart the JPA process.

426
427 Ms. Ridley pointed out that they had applicants come before the board before, that the board
428 suggested they move out of the board's jurisdiction, and the application was approved. The motion
429 would state, as a condition, that the structure had to be moved out of the board's jurisdiction.

430
431 Mr. Taylor added that revised drawings would be needed.

432
433 Mr. Byrd asked Mr. Mudd if he agreed with that. Mr. Mudd agreed.

434
435 **RECESS WAS TAKEN AT 11:28 AM**
436 **MEETING RECONVENED at 11:30**
437

438 **On a motion made by Mr. Badger and seconded by Mr. Ward, the Wetlands Board voted to**
439 **issue a permit (valid for (1) year, for George H. Miller- VMRC# 2023- 1049, for the proposed**
440 **construction of 125 square feet navy style bulkhead timber framed/vinyl sheathed with a**
441 **boardwalk style cap, and the removal and disposal of the existing failed dock. The**
442 **construction of a 32 feet long by 8 feet wide dock and an 8 feet wide by 5 feet long landing on**
443 **top of the bulkhead with the following conditions:**

- 444 *1. Revised drawing showing the northern portion of the bulkhead is above the*
445 *Wetlands Board's jurisdiction*

446 **The property is located in the Schooner Bay subdivision of Onancock, VA 23417, tax map#(s)**
447 **39-A-108.**
448
449
450

451 **D. Raymond & Julie Burke- VMRC# 2023- 1316**

452 Proposed construction of a new bulkhead 2 feet in front of the existing bulkhead located in the Captain’s
453 Cove subdivision of Greenbackville, VA 23356, tax map#(s) 5A1-1-935.

454
455 Ms. Nunnally gave a summary of the project adding that the project first came before the board in
456 January of 2022, but the permit expired before the construction could take place.

457
458 Ms. Nunnally said she went back out and checked the project and everything was still the same as
459 it was before.

460
461 Ms. Nunnally also said there was 90 square feet of non-vegetated wetlands present.

462
463 *NO AGENT WAS PRESENT TO SPEAK ON BEHALF OF THE APPLICANT*

464
465 Mr. Ward, asked to confirm, that the site was the same today as it was back in January and it was
466 confirmed that was correct.

467
468 Mr. Taylor said the only difference was that the law was changed, and that non-vegetated wetlands
469 had to be paid for now.

470
471 **CHAIRMAN BYRD OPENED THE FLOOR TO PUBLIC COMMENT**

472 Mr. Byrd asked if there was anyone who wished to comment on application 2023- 1316.

473
474 No one wished to comment.

475 **CHAIRMAN BYRD CLOSED THE FLOOR TO PUBLIC COMMENT**

476
477 **On a motion made by Mr. Taylor and seconded by Mr. Badger, the Wetlands Board voted**
478 **to issue a permit (valid for (1) year, for Raymond & Julie Burke- VMRC# 2023- 1316, for**
479 **the proposed construction of a new bulkhead 2 feet in front of the existing bulkhead with the**
480 **following conditions:**

- 481 *1. Pay \$1,620.00 into the mitigation fund for 90 square feet of impacts to non-*
482 *vegetated wetlands.*

483 **The property is located in the Captain’s Cove subdivision of Greenbackville, VA 23356, tax**
484 **map#(s) 5A1-1-935.**

485
486 **6. OTHER BUSINESS**

487 **A. Discussion on the length of permits**

488
489 Mr. Badger asked about changing the amount of years the permits are good for.

490
491 Mr. Badger suggested that they change it to at least two-years so that they did not have a
492 situation like that, (VMRC# 2023-1316), come back up.

493
494 Mr. Byrd said he did not disagree, but that the contractor could have went to Ms. Nunnally and
495 asked anytime in that period for an extension.

496
497 Mr. Byrd said he had never know them turn anyone down.
498

499 A short discussion ensued on this topic.

500 Mr. Byrd said he felt like they needed to think about this for a while.

501
502 It was decided to table this conversation until the August meeting.

503
504
505 **7. NEXT MEETING**

506 The next Wetlands Board meeting is scheduled for Thursday, August 24, 2023 at 11:00 a.m. in the Accomack
507 County Board Chambers, Room 104, in Accomac, VA.

508
509 **8. ADJOURNMENT**

510 **On a motion made by Mr. Ward and seconded by Mr. Badger, the Wetlands Board voted to**
511 **adjourn the meeting.**

512
513 The meeting was adjourned at 11:41 a.m.

514
515 _____
516 T. Lee Byrd, Chairman

517
518 _____
Chontese Ridley, Environmental Permit Specialist